

**LO 1.** Define the multiple linear regression model as

$$\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k$$

where there are  $k$  predictors (explanatory variables).

**LO 2.** Interpret the estimate for the intercept ( $b_0$ ) as the expected value of  $y$  when all predictors are equal to 0, on average.

**LO 3.** Interpret the estimate for a slope (say  $b_1$ ) as “All else held constant, for each unit increase in  $x_1$ , we would expect  $y$  to increase/decrease on average by  $b_1$ .”

**LO 4.** Define collinearity as a high correlation between two independent variables such that the two variables contribute redundant information to the model – which is something we want to avoid in multiple linear regression.

**LO 5.** Note that  $R^2$  will increase with each explanatory variable added to the model, regardless of whether or not the added variable is a meaningful predictor of the response variable. Therefore we use adjusted  $R^2$ , which applies a penalty for the number of predictors included in the model, to better assess the strength of a multiple linear regression model:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(e_i)/(n - k - 1)}{\text{Var}(y_i)/(n - 1)}$$

where  $\text{Var}(e_i)$  measures the variability of residuals ( $SS_{Err}$ ),  $\text{Var}(y_i)$  measures the total variability in observed  $y$  ( $SS_{Tot}$ ),  $n$  is the number of cases and  $k$  is the number of predictors.

- Note that adjusted  $R^2$  will only increase if the added variable has a meaningful contribution to the amount of explained variability in  $y$ , i.e. if the gains from adding the variable exceeds the penalty.

\* *Reading: Section 8.1 of OpenIntro Statistics*

\* *Videos: To be posted*

\* *Test yourself:*

1. *How is multiple linear regression different than simple linear regression?*
2. *What does “all else held constant” mean in the interpretation of a slope coefficient in multiple linear regression?*
3. *What is collinearity? Why do we want to avoid collinearity in multiple regression models?*
4. *Explain the difference between  $R^2$  and adjusted  $R^2$ . Which one will be higher? Which one tells us the variability in  $y$  explained by the model? Which one is a better measure of the strength of a linear regression model? Why?*

**LO 6.** Define model selection as identifying the best model for predicting a given response variable.

**LO 7.** Note that we usually prefer simpler (parsimonious) models over more complicated ones.

**LO 8.** Define the full model as the model with all explanatory variables included as predictors.

**LO 9.** Note that the p-values associated with each predictor are conditional on other variables being included in the model, so they can be used to assess if a given predictor is significant, given that all others are in the model.

- These p-values are calculated based on a  $t$  distribution with  $n - k - 1$  degrees of freedom.
- The same degrees of freedom can be used to construct a confidence interval for the slope parameter of each predictor:

$$b_i \pm t_{n-k-1}^* SE_{b_i}$$

**LO 10.** Stepwise model selection (backward or forward) can be done based on p-values (drop variables that are not significant) or based on adjusted  $R^2$  (choose the model with higher adjusted  $R^2$ ).

**LO 11.** The general idea behind backward-selection is to start with the full model and eliminate one variable at a time until the ideal model is reached.

- p-value method:
  - (i) Start with the full model.
  - (ii) Drop the variable with the highest p-value and refit the model.
  - (iii) Repeat until all remaining variables are significant.
- adjusted  $R^2$  method:
  - (i) Start with the full model.
  - (ii) Refit all possible models omitting one variable at a time, and choose the model with the highest adjusted  $R^2$ .
  - (iii) Repeat until maximum possible adjusted  $R^2$  is reached.

**LO 12.** The general idea behind forward-selection is to start with only one variable and adding one variable at a time until the ideal model is reached.

- p-value method:
  - (i) Try all possible simple linear regression models predicting  $y$  using one explanatory variable at a time. Choose the model where the explanatory variable of choice has the lowest p-value.
  - (ii) Try all possible models adding one more explanatory variable at a time, and choose the model where the added explanatory variable has the lowest p-value.
  - (iii) Repeat until all added variables are significant.
- adjusted  $R^2$  method:
  - (i) Try all possible simple linear regression models predicting  $y$  using one explanatory variable at a time. Choose the model with the highest adjusted  $R^2$ .
  - (ii) Try all possible models adding one more explanatory variable at a time, and choose the model with the highest adjusted  $R^2$ .
  - (iii) Repeat until maximum possible adjusted  $R^2$  is reached.

**LO 13.** Adjusted  $R^2$  method is more computationally intensive, but it is more reliable, since it doesn't depend on an arbitrary significant level.

\* *Reading: Section 8.2 of OpenIntro Statistics*

\* *Videos: To be posted*

\* *Test yourself:*

1. Define the term "parsimonious model".

2. Describe the backward-selection algorithm using adjusted  $R^2$  as the criterion for model selection.

**LO 14.** List the conditions for multiple linear regression as

- (1) linear relationship between each (numerical) explanatory variable and the response - checked using scatterplots of  $y$  vs. each  $x$ , and residuals plots of *residuals* vs. each  $x$
- (2) nearly normal residuals with mean 0 - checked using a normal probability plot and histogram of residuals
- (3) constant variability of residuals - checked using residuals plots of *residuals* vs.  $\hat{y}$ , and *residuals* vs. each  $x$
- (4) independence of residuals (and hence observations) - checked using a scatterplot of *residuals* vs. order of data collection (will reveal non-independence if data have time series structure)

**LO 15.** Note that no model is perfect, but even imperfect models can be useful.

\* *Reading: Section 8.3 of OpenIntro Statistics*

\* *Videos: To be posted*

\* *Test yourself:*

1. *If a residuals plot (residuals vs.  $x$  or residuals vs.  $\hat{y}$ ) shows a fan shape, we worry about non-constant variability of residuals. What would the shape of these residuals look like if absolute value of residuals are plotted against a predictor or  $\hat{y}$ .*